

Exact Poisson pencils, τ -structures and topological hierarchies

Gregorio Falqui, Paolo Lorenzoni

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni

Università di Milano-Bicocca Via Roberto Cozzi 53, I-20125 Milano, Italy

gregorio.falqui@unimib.it, paolo.lorenzoni@unimib.it

To Boris Dubrovin in the occasion of his 60th birthday, with friendship and admiration.

Abstract

We discuss, in the framework of Dubrovin-Zhang's perturbative approach to integrable evolutionary PDEs in $1+1$ dimensions, the role of a special class of Poisson pencils, called exact Poisson pencils. In particular we show that, in the semisimple case, exactness of the pencil is equivalent to the constancy of the so-called “central invariants” of the theory that were introduced by Dubrovin, Liu and Zhang.

1 Introduction

Integrable hierarchies of evolutionary PDEs of the form

$$q_t^i = V_j^i(q) q_x^j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k F_k^i(q, q_x, q_{xx}, \dots, q_{(n)}, \dots) \quad (1)$$

have been extensively studied in the last years (see, e.g., [16, 29, 17, 18, 6, 30]).

In particular, great attention to the so-called *topological hierarchies* also because of their relation to the theory of Gromov-Witten invariants, the theory of singularities, and other seemingly unrelated topics of Mathematics

and Theoretical Physics. These hierarchies possess some additional structures: they are bi-Hamiltonian, they admit a tau-structure and satisfy Virasoro constraints [16]. The notion of τ -structure (or τ -function) is perhaps among the oldest ones in the theory of evolutionary equations in $1 + 1$ dimensions, having been introduced by Hirota as the major character in the bilinear formulation of integrable PDEs. Its properties were further exploited by the Japanese school (see, e.g., [26, 12, 37]). In the present approach, the existence of a τ -structure for an integrable hierarchy of $1 + 1$ evolutionary PDEs will be understood as the possibility of defining special densities h_i^* for the mutually conserved quantities of the PDEs that satisfy the symmetry requirement

$$\frac{\partial h_i^*}{\partial t_j} = \frac{\partial h_j^*}{\partial t_i},$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}$ is some suitable one-sequence ordering of the various times of the hierarchy.

Virasoro symmetries are also well known objects of the theory; in particular here we refer to the Virasoro-type algebras of additional (explicitly time(s)-dependent) symmetries of the classes of PDEs we are concerned with. In particular, they gained much attention in the light of the celebrated results by Kontsevich and Witten [27, 38] that identified a particular τ -function of the KdV hierarchy with the partition function of 2D Quantum gravity,

As it is well known, the existence of a bi-Hamiltonian structure means that the equations of the hierarchy can be written in Hamiltonian form with respect to two compatible Poisson bivectors P_1 and P_2 and that the Poisson pencil $P_2 - \lambda P_1$ is a Poisson bivector for any λ [31]. A remarkable result established in [16], and subsequently refined in [6] is that, if the pencil P_λ is semisimple, (in a sense to be made precise later) and admits a τ -function the above requirements fix uniquely the hierarchy once the dispersionless limit

$$q_t^i = V_j^i(q) q_x^j \tag{2}$$

and its bi-Hamiltonian structure (ω_1, ω_2) are given. The semisimplicity of the pencil is related to the existence of a special set of coordinates (u^1, \dots, u^n) called *canonical coordinates*. If one relaxes the hypothesis of existence of a *tau*-structure, the deformations are parametrized by certain functional parameters called *central invariants* that are constants in the case of topological hierarchies. In turn, further results in [17], suggest that the constancy of these central invariants is related with the existence of the τ -function of the

hierarchy.

In this paper we will show that the Poisson pencil

$$\Pi_\lambda = P_2 - \lambda P_1$$

of a topological hierarchy is exact, in the sense that there exists a vector field Z (to be called *Liouville* vector field of the pencil) such that

$$\text{Lie}_Z P_2 = P_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lie}_Z P_1 = 0. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, we show that there exists a Miura transformation reducing simultaneously Z to its dispersionless limit:

$$Z \rightarrow e = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}$$

and the pencil Π_λ to the form

$$\omega_\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{2k} P_2^{(2k)}.$$

The hint for our works stems from the observation(s) (to be briefly recalled in Section 2) that the geometry of exact bi-Hamiltonian manifolds provides *somehow for free* the needed "toolkit" requested for the existence of a τ -function for the hierarchy. Indeed, on general grounds, on the one hand the bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies defined on exact bi-Hamiltonian manifolds exhibit additional symmetries of Virasoro type [39]. On the other hand, the action of the Liouville field on the Hamiltonian of the hierarchy naturally provides new densities for the conserved quantities.

Actually, we are not going to tackle these problems directly and abstractly as a problem in the general theory of Poisson manifolds; rather, we use these "nice" properties of exact Poisson pencils as suggestions for their realization within the perturbative approach developed in recent years by Boris Dubrovin and his collaborators for the classification problem of $1+1$ evolutionary integrable PDEs of KdV-type. In particular, we borrow from them methods as well as a number of explicit results, with the aim of showing that the geometric notion of exactness of a Poisson pencil can be fruitfully used in this field.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we collect some (more or less known) results about exact Poisson pencils; then in Section 3 we study exact semisimple Poisson pencils of hydrodynamic type and we show that for such pencils the vector field Z coincides with the unity vector field e of the underlying Frobenius manifold. In Sections 4 and 5 we recall (following [17] and [29]) some definitions and results about central invariants and bi-Hamiltonian cohomology necessary for the subsequent Section 6 which is devoted to the proof of the main result of the paper. Section 7 contains a brief summary of the paper and some indications of further possible steps to generalize the results herewith presented.

Acknowledgments

We warmly thank F. Magri and M. Pedroni for fruitful discussions and useful comments.

2 Geometry of exact bi-Hamiltonian manifolds

In this section we collect some results on the geometry of *exact* bi-Hamiltonian manifolds, and their relations with the hierarchies therein supported. It is fair to say that, in one form or the other, these results are known in the literature. However, we deem useful to collect them together here, as they somehow provide the guiding principle for the arguments contained in the core of the paper. Let us preliminarily recall a few basic notions.

A *bi-Hamiltonian* (BH) manifold[31] is a manifold endowed with a pair of compatible Poisson tensors P_1, P_2 or, equivalently, with a pencils of Poisson bivectors $P_\lambda = P_2 - \lambda P_1$; it is well known that this definition entails that separately P_1 and P_2 are Poisson bivectors, and the Schouten bracket of P_1 and P_2 vanishes (this is referred to as the *compatibility* condition).

A sequence of bi-Hamiltonian vector fields X_i satisfying

$$X_i = P_1 dH_{i+1} = P_2 dH_i, \quad (4)$$

with i running in some discrete set of indices, is called a Lenard–Magri sequence. All the vector fields in such a sequence do commute among themselves; equivalently, the functions H_i entering (4) (the *Hamiltonians* of the

sequence) are in involution w.r.t. the Poisson brackets defined both by P_1 and by P_2 .

Following [24] we call a Lenard Magri sequence that starts from a Casimir function of one of the Poisson pencil (say, P_1) an *anchored* sequence; with the term pencil of Gelfan'd–Zakharevich (GZ) type we understand a pencil of Poisson bivectors endowed with $n = \dim(\text{Ker}P_1)$ anchored Lenard Magri sequences. We remark that all the Hamiltonians defined by a GZ pencil commute among themselves, even if they belong to *different* Lenard Magri sequences. Also, the pencils of Poisson bivectors entering the Dubrovin-Zhang classification scheme are dispersive deformations of pencils of hydrodynamic type and are all of GZ type.

We shall herewith consider pencils satisfying an additional geometric requirement.

Definition 1 *Let $P_\lambda := P_2 - \lambda P_1$ a pencil of Poisson bivectors, defined on a BH manifold \mathcal{M} . We say that P_λ is an exact Poisson pencil if there exists a vector field $Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ such that*

$$P_1 = \text{Lie}_Z P_2; \quad \text{Lie}_Z P_1 (= \text{Lie}_Z^2 P_2) = 0. \quad (5)$$

The vector field Z will be referred to as the Liouville field of the exact Poisson pencil.

We remark that, on general grounds, the Liouville vector field Z is not uniquely defined. For instance adding a bi-Hamiltonian vector field to a Liouville vector field one obtains a new Liouville vector field. In the known examples (e.g. in the case of the A_n -Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies), there are some natural choices for it. Indeed, in the paper, we shall see that this is the case.

2.1 Exact BH manifolds and second Hamiltonian function(s)

Let us consider an exact bi-Hamiltonian manifold, whose Lenard Magri chains be “anchored” according to the Gel'fand-Zakharevich definition [24], that is all chains originate from a Casimir function of P_1 . Let $\mathcal{H}(\lambda) := \mathcal{H}_0 + \frac{\mathcal{H}_1}{\lambda} + \frac{\mathcal{H}_2}{\lambda^2} + \dots$ a Casimir of the pencil, that is a formal Laurent series in λ satisfying

$$P_\lambda d\mathcal{H}(\lambda) = 0 (\Rightarrow P_1 d\mathcal{H}_0 = 0), \quad (6)$$

and consider the pencil of bi-Hamiltonian vector fields X_λ of the hierarchy, to be represented as

$$X_\lambda = P_1 d\mathcal{H}(\lambda). \quad (7)$$

Proposition 1 *Let $\mathcal{H}^*(\lambda) := -\text{Lie}_Z \mathcal{H}(\lambda)$; then the one parameter family of vector fields X_λ can be represented as*

$$X_\lambda = P_\lambda d\mathcal{H}^*(\lambda), \quad (8)$$

that is, the deformed Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_i^ = \text{Lie}_Z \mathcal{H}_i$ define the same GZ foliation of the phase space \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. It follows from the straightforward chain of equality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Lie}_Z (P_\lambda d\mathcal{H}(\lambda)) = \text{Lie}_Z(P_\lambda) d\mathcal{H}(\lambda) + P_\lambda \text{Lie}_Z(d\mathcal{H}(\lambda)) \\ &= P_1 d\mathcal{H}(\lambda) + P_\lambda (d\text{Lie}_Z(\mathcal{H}(\lambda))) = X_\lambda - P_\lambda d\mathcal{H}^*(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark: Exact bi-Hamiltonian pencils, besides having "historically" provided the first instances of such structures, naturally enter the so-called *method of argument translation* related with Lie-Poisson pencils on Lie algebras (see [32]).

In the field of evolutionary integrable PDEs, applications of this method can be found in [16], §3; we notice however that in our case, the Gel'fand-Zakharevich sequences start from Casimir of the "deformed" tensor P_1 , rather than with Casimirs of the (Lie Poisson) tensor P_2 . In the case of PDEs, this might be a non-trivial difference.

2.2 Exact BH manifolds and the Virasoro algebra

Master symmetries are a very classical topic in the theory of integrable PDEs [23, 35]. In [39] it was observed that the Galileian symmetry of the KdV equation could be used as a generator of a whole (albeit formal) family of such symmetries, and that such a family is isomorphic to the pronilpotent upper subalgebra of the Virasoro algebra, that is, the subalgebra generated by the elements ℓ_k with $k \geq 0$. Here we shall show (see also [1, 34]) that this is a common feature of all exact bi-Hamiltonian manifolds, and, in particular, that the Liouville vector field can be added as the Virasoro generator ℓ_{-1} .

Definition 2 [39] *Let P_λ be a Poisson pencil of GZ type, and let $N := P_2 \cdot P_1^{-1}$ its formal recursion operator. A vector field Y is called a conformal symmetry of the pencil if it holds*

$$\text{Lie}_Y N = N. \quad (9)$$

Proposition 2 *Let (P_λ, Z) be an exact bi-Hamiltonian pencil. Then the field $Y_0 := N Z$ is a conformal symmetry of P_λ .*

Proof: Since $N = P_2 P_1^{-1}$ we have $\text{Lie}_Z(N) = \mathbf{1}$. Now, let us define $Y_0 := N(Z)$; obviously

$$[Y_0, NX] = \text{Lie}_{Y_0}(NX) = \text{Lie}_{Y_0}(N) X + N \text{Lie}_{Y_0}(X) = \text{Lie}_{Y_0}(N) X + N[Y_0, X]. \quad (10)$$

The vanishing of the Nijenhuis torsion of N (which, as it is well known to experts in the theory of Poisson pencil, is implied by the compatibility of P_2 and P_1) reads, for every pair of vector fields W, X

$$[NW, NX] = N[NW, X] + N[W, NX] - N^2[W, X]. \quad (11)$$

Substituting $Y_0 = N Z$ in (10) and using the vanishing of the torsion of N we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}_{N Z}(N) X + N[N Z, X] &= N[N Z, X] + N[Z, NX] - N^2[Z, X] = \\ &= N[N Z, X] + N(\text{Lie}_Z(N) X) + N^2[Z, X] - N^2[Z, X] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which yields $\text{Lie}_{N Z}(N) X = N X \forall X$, since $\text{Lie}_Z N = \mathbf{1}$. ■

As a corollary, we have the following result (see [39] for the full proof, which holds obviously also for the slight generalization herewith presented). It is based on the properties

$$\text{Lie}_Z N^j = j N^{j-1} \quad (13)$$

Proposition 3 *Let*

$$Y_j := N^{j+1} Z \quad (\text{so that } Y_{-1} \equiv Z)$$

be the family of vector fields obtained formally by the action of the recursion operator on the Liouville vector field Z . Then the commutation relations of the Virasoro algebra

$$[Y_j, Y_k] = (k - j) Y_{k+j}$$

hold.

2.3 The exact GD pencil and its τ -function

The nowadays standard formulation of the n -th (A_n) Gel'fand Dickey (henceforth, GD) hierarchy is based on its Lax representation (see [11] for a full account of this theory); namely, the phase space is identified with the affine space of differential operators of the form

$$L = \partial^{n+1} + U_n \partial^{n-1} + U_{n-1} \partial^{n-2} + \cdots + U_1,$$

that is, the space of monic $n+1$ -th order differential operators with *vanishing* n -th order term. Its bi-Hamiltonian structure can be represented by means of the Hamilton operators

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{L} &= P_1(X) = [L, X]_+ \\ \dot{L} &= P_2(X) = (LX)_+ L - L(XL)_+ - \frac{1}{n+1} [L, (\partial^{-1}[X, L]_{-1})] \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where X represents a one-form on the phase space, that is, a purely non-local pseudodifferential operator. As it is customary, the subscript $(\cdot)_+$ refers to the purely differential part of the operator and $(\cdot)_{-1}$ is the residue. The last term in the second row of (14) is added to the standard Adler-Gel'fand-Dickey Hamiltonian operator in order to preserve the vanishing of coefficient of ∂^n of Hamiltonian vector fields associated with a generic one-form X (see, e.g., [17] or [5] §9).

It is well known – and easily ascertained from (14) – that the Poisson pencil $P_2 - \lambda P_1$ is exact, and admits as a Liouville vector field the field

$$Z := \dot{U}_1 = 1.$$

It is also well known that the densities of conserved quantities of the n -th GD hierarchy can be collected in a generating function $h([U], z)$ of the form

$$h([U], z) = z + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_i([U])}{z^i}$$

where $z^{n+1} = \lambda$; we use the symbol $[U]$ as a shorthand notation for "differential polynomial in the dependent fields $U_i(x)$ "; the series $h([U], z)$ is related with the Baker Akhiezer function ψ of the theory by

$$\psi = e^{\int^x h([U], z) dx} e^{\sum_i t_i z^i},$$

and is the unique solution of the above form of the Riccati-type equation

$$h^{(n+1)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{j+1} h^{(j)} = z^{n+1},$$

where $h^{(0)} \equiv 1$ and, by definition, $h^{(k+1)} = \partial_x h^{(k)} + h([U], z) h^{(k)}$.

In [21] the following representation for the n -th GD (and KP) flows was highlighted:

The GD flows imply the local conservation laws

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} h([U], z) = \partial_x H^{(j)}([U], z), \quad (15)$$

where $H^{(j)}([U], z)$ are formal series of the form $H^{(j)}([U], z) = z^j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_k^{(j)}([U])}{z^k}$ and z is related with the parameter λ of the Poisson pencil by $\lambda = z^{n+1}$. Along the GD flows these "currents" obey the equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} H^{(k)}([U], z) = H^{(j+k)} - H^{(j)} H^{(k)} + \sum_{l=1}^k H_l^{(j)} H^{(k-l)} + \sum_{l=1}^j H_l^{(k)} H^{(j-l)}. \quad (16)$$

Let us consider the generating function of the densities of the second (or dual) hamiltonian $h^*([U], z)$. According to Proposition (1) it must satisfy as well suitable conservation laws, to be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} h^*([U], z) = \partial_x H_{(j)}^*([U], z), \quad (17)$$

in terms of "dual" currents $H_{(j)}^*([U], z)$ that have the form

$$H_{(j)}^*([U], z) = j z^{j-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{jk}^*([U])}{z^{k+1}}. \quad (18)$$

It turns out¹ that, if we denote by $H_{(l)} = z^{l-1} - \sum_{k \geq 1} H_l^k z^{-(k+1)}$, the dual currents are given by $H_{(j)}^* = \sum_{l=1}^j H_{(l)} H^{(j-l)}$. By using this representation, and working a bit on the component-wise form of (16), and in particular on the formula

$$h^*([U], z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} h([U], z) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} h([U], z), \quad (19)$$

¹See [7] (where computations are done in the KP case) for more details.

one can show that the coefficients H_{jk}^* are symmetric in j, k , i.e., $H_{jk}^* = H_{kj}^*$, and along the flows their evolution satisfies $\frac{\partial H_{jk}^*}{\partial t_l} = \frac{\partial H_{lk}^*}{\partial t_j}$. Therefore, there exists a function $\tau(t_1, t_2, \dots)$ (independent of the spectral parameter z) such that

$$H_{jk}^* = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_j \partial t_k} \log \tau. \quad (20)$$

This function is the Hirota τ -function of the GD hierarchy; the outcome that we want to herewith remark is that, in this picture, the τ -function appears as the (logarithmic) potential for the densities of conservation laws associated with (17) the second Gel'fand-Zakharevich Hamiltonian naturally defined on the exact bi-Hamiltonian phase space of the KdV equation.

3 The dispersionless case

Let us consider an integrable system of the form (1), i.e.

$$q_t^i = V_j^i(q) q_x^j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k F_k^i(q, q_x, q_{xx}, \dots, q_{(n)}, \dots), \quad (21)$$

and consider its dispersionless (or hydrodynamical) limit. The equations of the dispersionless hierarchy have the form

$$q_t^i = V_j^i(q) q_x^j \quad (22)$$

For such systems, the class of Hamiltonian structures to be considered were introduced by Dubrovin and Novikov. Let us briefly outline the key points in their construction. Consider functionals

$$\mathcal{F}[q] := \int_{S^1} f(q^1(x), \dots, q^n(x)) dx, \quad \text{and} \quad G[q] := \int_{S^1} g(q^1(x), \dots, q^n(x)) dx$$

and define a bracket between them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}[q] &:= \iint_{S^1 \times S^1} \frac{\delta F}{\delta q^i(x)} \omega^{ij}(x, y) \frac{\delta G}{\delta q^j(y)} dx dy = \\ &= \iint_{S^1 \times S^1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i(x)} \omega^{ij}(x, y) \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^j(y)} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $\frac{\delta}{\delta q^i}$ denotes the variational derivative with respect to q^i . The bivector $\omega^{ij}(x, y)$ has the following (local, hydrodynamical) form

$$\omega^{ij} = g^{ij} \delta'(x - y) + \Gamma_k^{ij} q_x^k \delta(x - y). \quad (24)$$

A deep result geometrically characterizes the conditions for a bracket (23) be Poisson:

Theorem 1 [14] *If $\det(g^{ij}) \neq 0$, then the bracket (23) is Poisson if and only if the metric g^{ij} is flat and the functions Γ_k^{ij} are related to the Christoffel symbols of g_{ij} (the inverse of g^{ij}) by the formula $\Gamma_k^{ij} = -g^{il} \Gamma_{lk}^j$.*

Let us now consider a pair of Poisson bivectors of hydrodynamic type ω_1^{ij} , ω_2^{ij} , associated with a pair of flat metrics g_1 and g_2 . As shown by Dubrovin in [15] the flat metrics define a *bi-Hamiltonian structure of hydrodynamic type* iff

1. the Riemann tensor R_λ of the pencil $g_\lambda := g_2^{ij} - \lambda g_1^{ij}$ vanishes for any value of λ ;
2. the Christoffel symbols $(\Gamma_\lambda)_k^{ij}$ of the pencil are given by $\Gamma_{(2)k}^{ij} - \lambda \Gamma_{(1)k}^{ij}$.

In this paper we will consider Poisson pencils of hydrodynamic type satisfying two additional assumptions that can be expressed on the pencil g_λ as follows:

Assumption I: The roots $u^1(q), \dots, u^n(q)$ of the characteristic equation

$$\det g_\lambda = \det(g_2 - \lambda g_1) = 0$$

are functionally independent.

Assumption II: The Poisson pencil associated to the flat pencil of metrics g_λ according to the Dubrovin-Novikov recipe is an *exact* Poisson pencil ω_λ . By definition this means that $\text{Lie}_Z \omega_2 = \omega_1$ and $\text{Lie}_Z \omega_1 = 0$ for a suitable vector field Z .

The pencil g_λ satisfying Assumption I is called *semisimple* and the functions $u^i(q)$ are called *canonical coordinates*. It can be shown that, in canonical coordinates both metrics are diagonal [22]:

$$g_1^{ij} = f^i \delta_{ij}, \quad g_2^{ij} = u^i f^i \delta_{ij}$$

and the the Poisson pencil ω_λ becomes

$$\omega_\lambda = g_2^{ij}(u)\delta'(x-y) + \Gamma_{(2)k}^{ij}u_x^k\delta(x-y) - \lambda \left(g_1^{ij}(u)\delta'(x-y) + \Gamma_{(1)k}^{ij}u_x^k\delta(x-y) \right)$$

where the Christoffel symbols vanish if all the indices are different and (assuming $i \neq j$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{(1)j}^{ii} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial u^j}, \quad \Gamma_{(1)i}^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{f^j}{f^i} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial u^j}, \quad \Gamma_{(1)j}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f^i}{f^j} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial u^i}, \quad \Gamma_{(1)i}^{ii} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial u^i} \\ \Gamma_{(2)j}^{ii} &= u^i \Gamma_{(1)j}^{ii}, \quad \Gamma_{(2)i}^{ij} = u^j \Gamma_{(1)i}^{ij}, \quad \Gamma_{(2)j}^{ij} = u^i \Gamma_{(1)j}^{ij}, \quad \Gamma_{(2)i}^{ii} = \frac{1}{2} f^i + u^i \Gamma_{(1)i}^{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1 *In canonical coordinates also the equations of the dispersionless hierarchy become diagonal.*

The following property will be crucial in the computations we shall perform in the core of the paper

Theorem 2 *A semisimple bi-Hamiltonian structure of hydrodynamic type is exact if and only if the condition*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial u^k} = 0. \quad (25)$$

is satisfied.

Moreover, in canonical coordinates all the components of the vector field Z are equal to 1.

Proof. By means of a straightforward computation, using formula [29]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}_Z P^{ij} &= \\ \sum_{k,s} \left(\partial_x^s Z^k(u(x), \dots) \frac{\partial P^{ij}}{\partial u_{(s)}^k(x)} - \frac{\partial Z^i(u(x), \dots)}{\partial u_{(s)}^k(x)} \partial_x^s P^{kj} - \frac{\partial Z^j(u(y), \dots)}{\partial u_{(s)}^k(y)} \partial_y^s P^{ik} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}_Z \omega_2^{ij} &= \left(Z^k \frac{\partial g_{(2)}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^k} g_{(2)}^{kj} - \frac{\partial Z^j}{\partial u^k} g_{(2)}^{ik} \right) \delta'(x-y) + \\ &\quad \left(Z^k \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)l}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(2)l}^{kj} - \frac{\partial Z^j}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(2)l}^{ik} - g_{(2)}^{ik} \frac{\partial^2 Z^j}{\partial u^k \partial u^l} \right) u_x^l \delta(x-y) = \omega_1^{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}_Z \omega_1^{ij} = & \left(Z^k \frac{\partial g_{(1)}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^k} g_{(1)}^{kj} - \frac{\partial Z^j}{\partial u^k} g_{(1)}^{ik} \right) \delta'(x-y) + \\ & \left(Z^k \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(1)l}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(1)l}^{kj} - \frac{\partial Z^j}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(1)l}^{ik} - g_{(1)}^{ik} \frac{\partial^2 Z^j}{\partial u^k \partial u^l} \right) u_x^l \delta(x-y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The vanishing of the coefficients of $\delta'(x-y)$ implies

$$\text{Lie}_Z g_2 = g_1, \quad \text{Lie}_Z g_1 = 0,$$

or, more explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Lie}_Z g_1)^{ii} &= Z^k \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial u^k} - 2f^i \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^i} = 0 \\ (\text{Lie}_Z g_2)^{ii} &= Z^k u^i \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial u^k} + Z^i f^i - 2u^i f^i \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^i} = f^i. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the first equation, the second equation implies

$$Z^i = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (27)$$

and, as a consequence, the first equation reduces to (25). It remains to verify

$$Z^k \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(1)l}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(1)l}^{kj} - \frac{\partial Z^j}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(1)l}^{ik} - g_{(1)}^{ik} \frac{\partial^2 Z^j}{\partial u^k \partial u^l} = Z^k \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(1)l}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} = 0$$

and

$$Z^k \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)l}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} - \frac{\partial Z^i}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(2)l}^{kj} - \frac{\partial Z^j}{\partial u^k} \Gamma_{(2)l}^{ik} - g_{(1)}^{ik} \frac{\partial^2 Z^j}{\partial u^k \partial u^l} = Z^k \frac{\partial \Gamma_{(2)l}^{ij}}{\partial u^k} = \Gamma_{(1)l}^{ij}.$$

It is easy to check that both follow from (25). ■

Remark 1 *In the above computations we have used the same letter (Z) to denote a vector field on the manifold M and the corresponding vector field on the loop space $\mathcal{L}(M)$.*

Remark 2 *The semisimple Poisson pencil of hydrodynamic type associated with a semisimple Frobenius manifold is always exact [15]. The Liouville vector field in this context is usually denoted by the letter e and called the unity vector field.*

3.1 The n-th GD example

Let us consider the dispersionless limit of the A_n Drinfel'd-Sokolov bi-Hamiltonian structure. In this case we have the following generating functions for the contravariant components of the metrics of the pencil [36, 18]

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(q, p) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_1^{ij} p^{i-1} q^{j-1} = \frac{\lambda'(p) - \lambda'(q)}{p - q} \\ g_2(q, p) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_2^{ij} p^{i-1} q^{j-1} = \frac{\lambda'(p)\lambda(q) - \lambda'(q)\lambda(p)}{p - q} + \frac{\lambda'(p)\lambda'(q)}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda(p) = p^{n+1} + U^n p^{n-1} + \dots + U^2 p + U^1.$$

Clearly, since λ' does not depend on U^1 and $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial U^1} = 1$, we have

$$\text{Lie}_Z g_2 = g_1, \quad \text{Lie}_Z g_1 = 0,$$

with $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial U^1}$, that is the Poisson pencil associated with g_1 and g_2 is exact. Moreover it is also semisimple. The canonical coordinates (u^1, \dots, u^n) are the critical values of λ . If we denote by v_1, \dots, v_n the critical points of λ (by definition they do not depend on U^1):

$$\lambda'(p) = (n+1)p^n + (n-1)U^n p^{n-2} + \dots + U^2 = (n+1) \prod_{k=1}^n (p - v_k) = 0,$$

the canonical coordinates are

$$u^i = v_i^{n+1} + U^n v_i^{n-1} + \dots + U^2 v_i + U^1.$$

As expected, in canonical coordinates, the vector field Z reads

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial U^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}.$$

4 Central invariants

The main problem in the approach of the Dubrovin's school to the theory of integrable systems is the classification of Poisson pencils of the form (see for

instance [16, 29, 17, 18, 30, 4])

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\lambda^{ij} &= \omega_2^{ij} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^k \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} A_{(2)k,l}^{ij}(q, q_x, \dots, q_{(l)}) \delta^{(k-l+1)}(x-y) \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(\omega_1^{ij} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^k \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} A_{(1)k,l}^{ij}(q, q_x, \dots, q_{(l)}) \delta^{(k-l+1)}(x-y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where ω_1 and ω_2 are semisimple Poisson bivectors of hydrodynamic type and $A_{k,l}^{ij}$ are differential polynomials of degree l . We recall that, by definition, $\deg f(q) = 0$ and $\deg(q_{(l)}) = l$.

Two pencils Π_λ and $\tilde{\Pi}_\lambda$ are considered equivalent if they are related by a Miura transformation

$$\tilde{q}^i = F_0^i(q) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^k F_k^i(q, q_x, \dots, q_{(k)}), \quad \det \frac{\partial F_0^i}{\partial q^j} \neq 0, \quad \deg F_k^i = k.$$

In the semisimple case [29] (that is if ω_λ is semisimple) equivalence classes of equivalent Poisson pencils are labelled by n functional parameters called *central invariants*. More precisely two pencils having the same leading order are Miura equivalent if and only if they have the same central invariants. In general, the problem of proving the existence of the Poisson pencil corresponding to a given choice of the leading term ω_λ and of the central invariants is still open.

Let us recall the definition of the central invariants of a Poisson pencil.

At each order in ϵ the coefficient of the term containing the highest derivative of the delta function is a tensor field of type $(2,0)$, symmetric for odd derivatives and skewsymmetric for even derivatives. Consider the formal series

$$\pi^{ij}(p, \lambda, q^1, \dots, q^n) = g_2^{ij} p + \sum_{k \geq 1} A_{(2)k,0}^{ij} p^{k+1} - \lambda \left(g_1^{ij} p + \sum_{k \geq 1} A_{(1)k,0}^{ij} p^{k+1} \right)$$

and denote by $\lambda^i(q, p)$ the roots of the equation

$$\det \pi^{ij}(p, \lambda, q^1, \dots, q^n) = 0.$$

Expanding $\lambda^i(q, p)$ at $p = 0$ we obtain

$$\lambda^i = u^i + \lambda_2^i p^2 + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$$

Following [18] we can define the central invariant c_i as

$$c_i = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\lambda_2^i(q)}{f^i(q)} \quad (28)$$

It turns out [29, 17] that the central invariants c_i depend only on the canonical coordinates u^i and are given by the following expression:

$$c_i(u^i) = \frac{1}{3(f^i)^2} \left(Q_2^{ii} - u^i Q_1^{ii} + \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{(P_2^{ki} - u^i P_1^{ki})^2}{f^k(u^k - u^i)} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (29)$$

where $P_1^{ij}, P_2^{ij}, Q_1^{ij}, Q_2^{ij}$ are the components of the tensor fields $A_{2,0}^{(1)ij}, A_{2,0}^{(2)ij}, A_{3,0}^{(1)ij}, A_{3,0}^{(2)ij}$ in canonical coordinates. This means that, in such coordinates, the pencil has the following expansion in ϵ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\lambda^{ij} &= \omega_2^{ij} + \epsilon (P_2^{ij} \delta''(x-y) + \dots) + \epsilon^2 (Q_2^{ij} \delta'''(x-y) + \dots) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \\ &\quad - \lambda [\omega_1^{ij} + \epsilon (P_1^{ij} \delta''(x-y) + \dots) + \epsilon^2 (Q_1^{ij} \delta'''(x-y) + \dots) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)] \end{aligned}$$

As a remark, we notice that we can define central invariants in an alternative way, as

$$c_i = -\frac{1}{3 f^i} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^i} \text{Tr } g_\lambda^{-1} A_\lambda \quad (30)$$

where the tensor A^{ij} is defined by

$$A_\lambda^{ij} = Q_\lambda^{ij} + (g_\lambda^{-1})_{lk} P_\lambda^{li} P_\lambda^{kj}.$$

with

$$Q_\lambda^{ij} = Q_2^{ij} - \lambda Q_1^{ij}, \quad P_\lambda^{ij} = P_2^{ij} - \lambda P_1^{ij}.$$

To prove this identity we notice that the identity (29) can be written in terms of the tensor A_λ^{ij} as

$$3c_i(u^i)(f^i)^2 = \{A_\lambda^{ii}\}_{\lambda=u^i} = \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^i} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A_\lambda^{kk}}{\lambda - u^k}. \quad (31)$$

and therefore, dividing both sides by f^i and using the properties of residues,

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
3c_i(u^i) f^i &= \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^i} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A_{\lambda}^{kk}}{f^i(\lambda - u^k)} = \\
&\text{Res}_{\lambda=u^i} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A_{\lambda}^{kk}}{f^k(\lambda - u^k)} = \\
&-\text{Res}_{\lambda=u^i} \sum_{k=1}^n (g_{\lambda}^{-1})_{kl} A_{\lambda}^{lk} = -\text{Res}_{\lambda=u^i} \text{Tr } g_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the quantity $\text{Tr } g_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{\lambda}$ is a scalar function we can evaluate the components of the $(1, 1)$ tensor field $g_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{\lambda}$ in an arbitrary coordinate system, compute its trace and then, only at the end of the computation, write the result in terms of canonical coordinates. We will use this procedure in the following examples.

AKNS. Let us consider the Poisson pencil $\omega_2 + \epsilon P_2^{(1)} - \lambda \omega_1$ with

$$\omega_2 + \epsilon P_2^{(1)} - \lambda \omega_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (2u\partial_x + u_x)\delta & v\delta' \\ \partial_x(v\delta) & -2\delta' \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta'' \\ \delta'' & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta' \\ \delta' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

where, to compactify the formulas, we write δ instead of $\delta(x - y)$. This is the Poisson pencil of the so-called AKNS (or two-boson) hierarchy.

In this case

$$g_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 2u & v - \lambda \\ v - \lambda & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

After some computations we get $A_{\lambda} = \frac{g_{\lambda}}{\det g_{\lambda}}$ and therefore, taking into account that

$$u^1 = v + \sqrt{-4u}, \quad u^2 = v - \sqrt{-4u}, \quad f^1 = \frac{8}{u_2 - u_1}, \quad f^2 = \frac{8}{u_1 - u_2},$$

using formula (30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= -\frac{1}{3f^1} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^1} \text{Tr } g_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{3f^1} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^1} \frac{2}{\det g_{\lambda}} = -\frac{1}{12} \\
c_2 &= -\frac{1}{3f^2} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^2} \text{Tr } g_{\lambda}^{-1} A_{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{3f^2} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^2} \frac{2}{\det g_{\lambda}} = -\frac{1}{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Two component CH. Moving $P_2^{(1)}$ from P_2 to P_1 in the Poisson pencil of the AKNS hierarchy one obtains the following Poisson pencil [20, 29]

$$P_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} (2u\partial_x + u_x)\delta & v\delta' \\ \partial_x(v\delta) & -2\delta' \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta' - \epsilon\delta'' \\ \delta' + \epsilon\delta'' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

which is the Poisson pencil defining the so called CH₂ hierarchy. The pencil g_λ and the canonical coordinates are the same of the previous example, while $A_\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2 g_\lambda}{\det g_\lambda}$. Using formula (30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -\frac{1}{3f^1} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^1} \text{Tr } g_\lambda^{-1} A_\lambda = -\frac{1}{3f^1} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^1} \frac{2\lambda^2}{\det g_\lambda} = -\frac{(u^1)^2}{12} \\ c_2 &= -\frac{1}{3f^2} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^2} \text{Tr } g_\lambda^{-1} A_\lambda = -\frac{1}{3f^2} \text{Res}_{\lambda=u^2} \frac{2\lambda^2}{\det g_\lambda} = -\frac{(u^2)^2}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3 Notice that in both examples the matrix $g_\lambda^{-1} A_\lambda$ is the identity matrix times a scalar function. In the first case this function is $\frac{1}{\det g_\lambda}$ while in the second case it is $\frac{\lambda^2}{\det g_\lambda}$.

5 Bi-Hamiltonian cohomology

In this section we collect, for the reader's convenience, some definitions and results about (Bi)-Hamiltonian cohomologies and the Dubrovin-Zhang complex (see [16] for full details and proofs). Let g be a flat metric on a manifold M and ω be the associated Poisson bivector of hydrodynamic type. In analogy with the case of finite dimensional Poisson manifolds [28] one defines Poisson cohomology groups in the following way:

$$H^j(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega) := \frac{\ker\{d_\omega : \Lambda_{\text{loc}}^j \rightarrow \Lambda_{\text{loc}}^{j+1}\}}{\text{im}\{d_\omega : \Lambda_{\text{loc}}^{j-1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\text{loc}}^j\}} \quad (34)$$

where $d_\omega := [\omega, \cdot]$ (the square brackets denote the Schouten brackets) and Λ_{loc}^j is the space of local j -multivectors on the loop space of the manifold M (see [16] for more details on the definition of this complex). The space of local multivectors has a natural decomposition in components of same degree. To determine each component, we recall that, by definition, $\deg \delta(x - y) = 1$ and ∂_x increases the degrees by one so that

$$\deg(A^{i_1, \dots, i_k} \delta^{(l_2)}(x_1 - x_2) \dots \delta^{(l_k)}(x_1 - x_k)) = \deg A^{i_1, \dots, i_k} + (l_2 + \dots + l_k) + k - 1,$$

where $A^{i_1, \dots, i_k} = A^{i_1, \dots, i_k}(u(x_1), u_{x_1}, \dots)$ is a differential polynomial. In this way, for instance, a homogeneous vector field of degree k is a vector field whose components are differential polynomials of degree k . Since the decomposition of Λ_{loc}^j in homogeneous components is preserved by d_ω , we have

$$H^j(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega) = \oplus_k H_k^j(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega). \quad (35)$$

For Poisson structures of hydrodynamic type like (24), it has been proved in [25] (see also [13] for an independent proof of the cases $n = 1, 2$) that $H^k(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. The vanishing of these cohomology groups implies that any deformation of a Poisson bivector of a hydrodynamic type

$$P^\epsilon = \omega + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n P_n, \quad (36)$$

where $P_k \in \Lambda_{k+2, \text{loc}}^2$ can be obtained from ω by performing a Miura transformation.

In order to study deformations of Poisson pencil of hydrodynamic type it is necessary to introduce bi-Hamiltonian cohomology groups [24, 16, 29]. For $i \geq 2$ they are defined as

$$H_k^i(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{\text{Ker} \left(d_{\omega_1} d_{\omega_2} |_{\Lambda_{k, \text{loc}}^{i-1}} \right)}{\text{Im} \left(d_{\omega_1} |_{\Lambda_{k-2, \text{loc}}^{i-2}} \right) \oplus \text{Im} \left(d_{\omega_2} |_{\Lambda_{k-2, \text{loc}}^{i-2}} \right)}.$$

Liu and Zhang showed that, in the semisimple case,

$$H_k^2(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega_1, \omega_2) = 0 \quad \forall k \neq 2,$$

and that the elements of

$$H_2^2(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega_1, \omega_2)$$

have the form

$$d_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int c^i(u^i) u_x^i \log u_x^i dx \right) - d_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int u^i c^i(u^i) u_x^i \log u_x^i dx \right) \quad (37)$$

where $c^i(u^i)$ are the central invariants introduced in the previous section. More explicitly, the components of these vector fields, in canonical coordinates, are given by

$$X^i = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \partial_x f^i + A^{ij} \right) c^j u_x^j + (2\delta_{ij} f^i - L^{ij}) \partial_x (c^j u_x^j) \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (38)$$

with

$$A^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f^i}{f^j} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial u^i} u_x^j - \frac{f^j}{f^i} \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial u^j} u_x^i \right) \quad (39)$$

$$L^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} f^i + \frac{(u^i - u^j) f^i}{2 f^j} \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial u^i}. \quad (40)$$

We will use these facts later.

6 Constant central invariants and exactness

This section is devoted to the proof of the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3 *Let*

$$\Pi_\lambda = P_2 - \lambda P_1 = \omega_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k P_2^{(k)} - \lambda \left(\omega_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k P_1^{(k)} \right). \quad (41)$$

be a Poisson pencil whose dispersionless limit $\omega_2 - \lambda\omega_1$ is semisimple and exact. Then its central invariants are constant if and only if it is, in the sense of formal series of Poisson pencils, exact.

In particular, we recall that Theorem 2 states that a Poisson pencil of hydrodynamic type is exact if and only if the quantities f^j satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial u^k} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We split the proof of the main theorem into the proof of some Lemmas.

Lemma 1 *Let*

$$\Pi_\lambda = P_2 - \lambda P_1 = \omega_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k P_2^{(k)} - \lambda \left(\omega_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k P_1^{(k)} \right), \quad P_{1,2}^{(k)} \in \Lambda_{k+2,loc}^2$$

be a Poisson pencil whose dispersionless limit $\omega_\lambda = \omega_2 - \lambda\omega_1$ is a semisimple Poisson pencil of hydrodynamic type (not necessarily exact). Let (c^1, \dots, c^n) be the central invariants of Π_λ . Then there exists a Miura transformation reducing it to the form

$$\Pi_\lambda = \omega_\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{2k} P_2^{(2k)}, \quad P_2^{(2)} = \text{Lie}_{X_{(c^1, \dots, c^n)}} \omega_1, \quad (42)$$

with $X_{(c^1, \dots, c^n)}$ given by (37).

Proof. The lemma is a consequence of the vanishing of the second Poisson cohomology group [25, 13, 16] associated to Poisson structure of hydrodynamic type and of the triviality of the odd order deformations [29, 17].

Let us restrict our attention to exact Poisson pencils of the form (42). This means that there exists a vector field $Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{2k} Z_{2k}$ ($\deg Z_{2k} = 2k$) such that

$$\text{Lie}_Z(\omega_1) = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\text{Lie}_Z(\omega_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{2k} P_2^{(2k)}) = \omega_1. \quad (44)$$

From (43) and (44) it follows that

$$\text{Lie}_{Z_0}\omega_1 = 0 \quad (45)$$

$$\text{Lie}_{Z_0}\omega_2 = \omega_1. \quad (46)$$

We have seen (see Theorem 2) that this implies (25) and that, in canonical coordinates $Z_0^i = 1$, that is $Z_0 = e$.

Lemma 2 *There exists a Miura transformation preserving ω_1 that reduces Z to e .*

Proof. From (43) it follows that

$$\text{Lie}_{Z_{2k}}(\omega_1) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (47)$$

This means, in particular, that $Z_2 = d_{\omega_1} H_2$ for a suitable functional H_2 . The Miura transformation generated by the vector field $d_1 \tilde{H}_2$ with

$$\text{Lie}_e \tilde{H}_2 = H_2 \quad (48)$$

maintains the form of the pencil: $\Pi_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\Pi}_\lambda = \omega_\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{2k} \tilde{P}_2^{(2k)}$ and reduces Z to the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= e + \epsilon^2 (\text{Lie}_{d_{\omega_1} \tilde{H}_2} e + d_{\omega_1} H_2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) = \\ &= e + \epsilon^2 (d_{\omega_1} (-\text{Lie}_e \tilde{H}_2) + d_{\omega_1} H_2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) = \\ &= e + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4). \end{aligned}$$

We can apply the same arguments to higher order deformations and construct a Miura transformation that maps Z into e . ■

Remark 2 For completeness, let us further discuss the solvability of (48), that is, of an equation of the form

$$\text{Lie}_e \tilde{K} = K \quad (49)$$

for the unknown functional $\tilde{K} = \int_{S^1} \tilde{k} dx$. In canonical coordinates equation (49) reads

$$\int_{S^1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial u^i} dx = \int_{S^1} k dx.$$

Indeed taking into account the periodic boundary conditions the l.h.s. of (49) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S^1} e^i \frac{\delta \tilde{K}}{\delta u^i} dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S^1} \left[\frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial u^i} + \partial_x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \partial_x^{k-1} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial u_{(k)}^i} \right) \right] dx = \int_{S^1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial u^i} dx,$$

where $u_{(k)}^i$ is the k -th derivative with respect to x of u^i . A solution can be found solving the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial u^i} = k$$

for the density of the functional \tilde{K} . It is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{A}_j}{\partial u^i} = A_j, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{B}_{jm}}{\partial u^i} = B_{jm}, \quad \dots$$

for the coefficients $\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{B}_{ij}, \dots$ of the homogenous differential polynomial

$$\tilde{k} = \tilde{A}_i u_{(N)}^i + \tilde{B}_{ij} u_x^i u_{(N-1)}^j + \dots$$

With a linear change of coordinates $(u^1, \dots, u^n) \rightarrow (w^1, \dots, w^n)$ we can reduce $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k}$ to $\frac{\partial}{\partial w^1}$. In such coordinates the solution is obtained integrating the coefficients of k along w^1 . Clearly the solution is not unique and in the coordinates (w^1, \dots, w^n) is defined up to functions of (w^2, \dots, w^n) .

The next lemma shows that the constancy of the central invariants is related to the exactness at the second order of the pencil.

Lemma 3 *Let Π_λ be a Poisson pencil of the form (42). Still in the hypotheses of Theorem 2 (namely, if the condition (25) is satisfied), the central invariants of Π_λ are constant if and only if the second order condition*

$$\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2)} = 0, \quad (50)$$

is satisfied.

Proof. We have the following identity

$$\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2)} = \text{Lie}_e \text{Lie}_{X_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}} \omega_1 = \text{Lie}_{[e, X_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}]} \omega_1 = \text{Lie}_{X_{\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial u^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial u^n}\right)}} \omega_1 \quad (51)$$

Suppose that $\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2)} = 0$, then, using (51), we have

$$\text{Lie}_{X_{\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial u^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial u^n}\right)}} \omega_1 = 0$$

and this implies $\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial u^i} = 0, \forall i$.

Suppose now that all the central invariants are constant, then, using (51) we obtain (50). ■

Remark 3 *According to the results of [29] and as already stated in Lemma 1 we can assume, without loss of generality, that $P_2^{(2)}$ is given by $\text{Lie}_X \omega_1$. In this case condition (50) gives the exactness at the second order of the pencil. However in order to prove that the exactness of the pencil implies the constancy of the central invariants we have to reduce the Liouville vector field to e . The reducing Miura transformation, in general, does not preserve $P_2^{(2)}$.*

Lemma 3 relates the condition (50) to the constancy of the central invariants but does not give us any information about the higher order conditions entering the definition of exactness. In order to push our analysis further up in the ϵ expansion, we need the results about bi-Hamiltonian cohomology we recalled in the previous section.

Lemma 4 *If the condition (25) is satisfied, and the pencil (42) satisfies*

$$\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2)} = 0$$

then there exist a Miura transformation such that

$$\Pi_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\Pi}_\lambda = \omega_\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{2k} \tilde{P}_2^{(2k)}.$$

with

$$\text{Lie}_e \tilde{P}_2^{(2k)} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. We construct the Miura transformation by induction. Suppose that the pencil Π_λ satisfies

$$\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2k)} = 0, \dots, N$$

but at the subsequent order,

$$\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2N+2)} \neq 0.$$

We show that it is possible to define a Miura transformation such that the transformed pencil $\tilde{\Pi}_\lambda$ satisfies the above condition, that is, is exact up to order $2N + 2$, with Liouville vector field still given by $Z = e$. To construct such a transformation we will use the following strategy:

- First we will show that

$$\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2N+2)} = \text{Lie}_{X_2^{(2N+2)}} \omega_1$$

and that the vector field $X_2^{(2N+2)}$ belongs to $H_{2N+2}^2(\mathcal{L}(M), \omega_1, \omega_2)$. Due to the triviality of this cohomology group for $N > 0$ this implies that

$$X_2^{(2N+2)} = d_{\omega_1} H_2^{(2N+2)} + d_{\omega_2} K_2^{(2N+2)}$$

for two suitable local functionals $H_2^{(2N+2)}$ and $K_2^{(2N+2)}$ having densities which are differential polynomials of degree $2N + 2$.

- Second we will show that the pencil $\tilde{\Pi}_\lambda$ related to Π_λ by the Miura transformation generated by the vector field $d_{\omega_1} \tilde{K}_2^{(2N+2)}$, with

$$\text{Lie}_e \tilde{K}_2^{(2N+2)} = K_2^{(2N+2)}, \quad (52)$$

has the required property.

Concerning the first point we have to show that

$$d_{\omega_1} \left(\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2N+2)} \right) = 0 \quad (53)$$

$$d_{\omega_2} \left(\text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2N+2)} \right) = 0. \quad (54)$$

This can be easily proved using the following consequences of graded Jacobi identity:

$$\text{Lie}_e d_{\omega_1} - d_{\omega_1} \text{Lie}_e = 0 \quad (55)$$

$$\text{Lie}_e d_{\omega_2} - d_{\omega_2} \text{Lie}_e = d_{\omega_1}. \quad (56)$$

Indeed, (53) follows immediately from (55) and $d_{\omega_1} P_2^{(2N+2)} = 0$. To ascertain the validity of (54) we first observe that from $[P_2, P_2] = 0$ it follows

$$d_{\omega_2} P_2^{(2N+2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N [P_2^{(2k)}, P_2^{(2N+2-2k)}];$$

then using (56) and graded Jacobi we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega_2} \text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2N+2)} &= \text{Lie}_e d_{\omega_2} P_2^{(2N+2)} - d_{\omega_1} P_2^{(2N+2)} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \text{Lie}_e [P_2^{(2k)}, P_2^{(2N+2-2k)}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the second point (that is, Equation (52)), we observe that the Miura transformation generated by the vector field $\epsilon^{2N+2} d_{\omega_1} \tilde{K}_2^{(2N+2)}$ reduces the pencil to the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Pi}_\lambda &= \omega_\lambda + \epsilon^2 P_2^{(2)} + \dots + \epsilon^{2N+2} \tilde{P}_2^{(2N+2)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2N+4}) = \\ &= \omega_\lambda + \epsilon^2 P_2^{(2)} + \dots + \epsilon^{2N+2} \left(P_2^{(2N+2)} + \text{Lie}_{d_{\omega_1} \tilde{K}_2^{(2N+2)}} \omega_2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2N+4}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}_e \tilde{P}_2^{(2N+2)} &= \text{Lie}_e P_2^{(2N+2)} + \text{Lie}_e d_{\omega_2} d_{\omega_1} \tilde{K}_2^{(2N+2)} = \\ &= d_{\omega_1} d_{\omega_2} K_2^{(2N+2)} + d_{\omega_2} d_{\omega_1} \text{Lie}_e \tilde{K}_2^{(2N+2)} = \\ &= d_{\omega_1} d_{\omega_2} K_2^{(2N+2)} + d_{\omega_2} d_{\omega_1} K_2^{(2N+2)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 4 *The identity (55) is the counterpart at the level of the double complex defined by $(d_{\omega_1}, d_{\omega_2})$ of the exactness of the pencil $\omega_2 - \lambda\omega_1$.*

Collecting the results of all the previous Lemmas we can finally prove the main theorem.

Proof of the main theorem. Due to lemma 1, without loss generality we can assume that the pencil has the form (42). Suppose that the pencil (42) is exact, i.e. it satisfies (43) and (44).

Due to lemma 2, performing a Miura transformation preserving ω_1 , we can reduce Z to e . After such a Miura transformation

$$P_2^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{X_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}} \omega_1 + \text{Lie}_{d_{\omega_1} \tilde{H}_2} \omega_2$$

The exactness of the pencil implies

$$\text{Lie}_e \left(\text{Lie}_{X_{(c_1, \dots, c_n)}} \omega_1 + \text{Lie}_{d_{\omega_1} \tilde{H}_2} \omega_2 \right) = \text{Lie}_{X_{\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial u^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial u^n}\right)}} \omega_1 + \text{Lie}_{d_{\omega_1} (\text{Lie}_e \tilde{H}_2)} \omega_2 = 0,$$

that is

$$\text{Lie}_{X_{\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial u^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial u^n}\right)}} \omega_1 = -\text{Lie}_{d_{\omega_1} (\text{Lie}_e \tilde{H}_2)} \omega_2. \quad (57)$$

The above identity makes sense only if $c^i = \text{constant}$ (and hence both sides vanish). Indeed, (57) tell us that the second order deformation

$$\epsilon^2 \text{Lie}_{X_{\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial u^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial u^n}\right)}} \omega_1$$

can be eliminated by the Miura transformation generated by the Hamiltonian vector field $\epsilon^2 d_{\omega_1} \text{Lie}_e \tilde{H}_2$. But, due to the results of [29], this is possible only if $\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial u^i} = 0, \forall i$.

Suppose now that the central invariants of the pencil (42) are constant. Due to lemma 3 the pencil satisfies the condition (50). In order to prove that (42) is exact it is enough to prove that it is Miura equivalent to an exact Poisson pencil. But this follows from lemma 4. \blacksquare

We close this section discussing how the above procedure works for the case of the AKNS hierarchy. Let us consider the Poisson pencil (32). We have already shown that it has constant central invariants. According to theorem 3 it is an exact Poisson pencil. The Liouville vector field is $Z = e = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$.

Notice that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta'' \\ \delta'' & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\text{Lie}_X \begin{pmatrix} (2u\partial_x + u_x)\delta & v\delta' \\ \partial_x(v\delta) & -2\delta' \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_x \\ \partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \xi} \\ \frac{\delta H}{\delta \eta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = - \int_{S^1} \frac{\eta(x)^2}{4} dx.$$

This means that the Miura transformation generated by the vector field X (up to terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$) reduces the pencil (32) to the form $P'_\lambda =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} (2u\partial_x + u_x)\delta & v\delta' \\ \partial_x(v\delta) & -2\delta' \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta' \\ \delta' & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \text{Lie}_X^2 \begin{pmatrix} (2u\partial_x + u_x)\delta & v\delta' \\ \partial_x(v\delta) & -2\delta' \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{6} \text{Lie}_X^3 \begin{pmatrix} (2u\partial_x + u_x)\delta & v\delta' \\ \partial_x(v\delta) & -2\delta' \end{pmatrix} + \dots = \\ & \begin{pmatrix} (2u\partial_x + u_x)\delta & v\delta' \\ \partial_x(v\delta) & -2\delta' \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta' \\ \delta' & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta''' \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta'''' \\ \delta'''' & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Notice also that the vector field $Z = e = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$ is left invariant by the Miura transformation generated by X (indeed Z and X commute). Moreover according to lemma 3 $\text{Lie}_e P_2'^{(2)} = 0$.

7 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper we elaborated on the circle of ideas connecting exact bi-Hamiltonian pencils, tau structures, and the central invariants of hierarchies admitting hydrodynamical limit, as defined by Dubrovin and collaborators. We have provided the characterization of a semisimple exact pencil of hydrodynamical type in canonical coordinates. If this is related to a Frobenius manifold, then the Liouville vector field must coincide with the unity vector field. We have shown that the exactness of the pencil is equivalent to the constancy of the central invariants defined by the dispersive expansion of the Poisson pencil of the hierarchy, and, in particular, that exactness at order 2 in the ε expansion is sufficient to ensure exactness at all orders. We believe that this property is intimately related with the properties of the vector field e that although not belonging to the Dubrovin-Zhang complex, defines an outer derivation of the complex, and satisfies (55).

Still, many important examples of bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies of PDEs do not have constant central invariants (and are believed not to admit τ -structures, at least in the strong sense herewith understood). Among them the Camassa-Holm equation and its multicomponent generalizations [8, 29, 9, 20], and other examples belonging to the so called r -KdV-CH-hierarchy [33, 2, 3, 10]. In particular in [29] it has been shown that the CH equation possesses linear central invariants, while, e.g., the CH_2 equation has *quadratic* central invariants. A natural question would be whether the point of view exposed in the present paper can be applied to characterize these hierarchies. Work in this direction is in progress, to be detailed elsewhere; in particular, according to some preliminary results, this method can be applied almost *verbatim* to the case of linear central invariants. It corresponds to the geometric relation, well known in the CH case,

$$\text{Lie}_Z^2(P_2) = 0, \quad \text{but} \quad \text{Lie}_Z P_2 \neq P_1.$$

On the other hand, in the higher degree case, the iteration procedure seems to require further condition on the pencil, whose meaning is currently being investigated.

References

- [1] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, *Compatible Poisson structures and the Virasoro algebra*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **47** (1994), no. 1, 5–37.
- [2] M. Antonowicz, A.P. Fordy, *Coupled KdV equations with multi-Hamiltonian structures*, Physica D **28** (1987) 345–357.
- [3] M. Antonowicz, A.P. Fordy, *Coupled Harry Dym equations with multi-Hamiltonian structures*, J. Phys. A **21** (1988) 269–275.
- [4] A. Arsie, P. Lorenzoni, *On bi-Hamiltonian deformations of exact pencils of hydrodynamic type*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44** (2011)
- [5] O. Babelon, D. Bernard and M. Talon, *Introduction to classical integrable systems*, Cambridge University Press (2003).
- [6] A. Buryak, H. Posthuma, and S. Shadrin, *A polynomial bracket for Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies*, arXiv1009.5351.

- [7] P. Casati, G. Falqui, F. Magri, M. Pedroni, *The KP theory revisited IV*, Preprints SISSA/2 5/96/FM, available online at <http://www.matapp.unimib.it/~falqui/oldpub/misc.html>.
- [8] R. Camassa and D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons* Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 1661-4 (1993).
- [9] M. Chen, S.-Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, *A two-component generalization of the Camassa-Holm equation and its solutions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **75** (2006) 1–15.
- [10] Chen, Ming; Liu, Si-Qi; Zhang, Youjin, *Hamiltonian structures and their reciprocal transformations for the r-KdV-CH hierarchy*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), no. 9, 12271243.
- [11] L. A. Dickey, *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, World Scientific, 1991, Singapore.
- [12] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Transformation Groups for Soliton Equations*. Proceedings of R.I.M.S. Symposium on Nonlinear Integrable Systems—Classical Theory and Quantum Theory (M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1983, pp. 39–119.
- [13] L. Degiovanni, F. Magri, V. Sciacca, *On deformation of Poisson manifolds of hydrodynamic type*, Comm. Math. Phys. **253**(1), 1–24 (2005).
- [14] B. Dubrovin, S.P. Novikov, *On Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **279:2** (1984) 294–297.
- [15] B. Dubrovin, *Flat pencils of metrics and Frobenius manifolds*, In: Proceedings of 1997 Taniguchi Symposium, Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry, Editors M.-H. Saito, Y. Shimizu and K. Ueno, 47–72. World Scientific, 1998.
- [16] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, *Normal forms of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants*, math.DG/0108160.
- [17] B. Dubrovin, S.Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, *Hamiltonian perturbations of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws I. Quasi-triviality of bi-Hamiltonian perturbations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59**(4), 559–615 (2006).

- [18] B. Dubrovin, S.Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, *Frobenius Manifolds and Central Invariants for the Drinfeld - Sokolov Bihamiltonian Structures*, Advances in Mathematics **219** (3), 780–837 (2008).
- [19] B. Dubrovin, *Hamiltonian perturbations of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws II*, Comm. Math. Phys. Volume **267**, Number 1, 117–139, (2006).
- [20] G. Falqui, *On a Camassa-Holm type equation with two dependent variables*. J. Phys. A **39** (2006), no. 2, 327342.
- [21] G. Falqui, F. Magri, M. Pedroni, *Bi-Hamiltonian geometry, Darboux coverings, and linearization of the KP hierarchy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **197** (1998), no. 2, 303–324.
- [22] E.V. Ferapontov, *Compatible Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type*, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 2377–2388.
- [23] B. Fuchssteiner, *Mastersymmetries, higher order time-dependent symmetries and conserved densities of nonlinear evolution equations*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **70** (1983), 1508–1522
- [24] I. M. Gel'fand and I. Zakharevich, *On the local geometry of a Bihamiltonian structure*, in: Gel'fand Seminar 1990/92, Birkhauser 1993, available online at http://math.berkeley.edu/~ilya/papers/bihamiltonian.1993/res_web.pdf
- [25] E. Getzler, *A Darboux theorem for Hamiltonian operators in the formal calculus of variations*, Duke Math. J. **111** (2002) 535–560.
- [26] R. Hirota, *Exact solution of the Korteweg–de Vries equation for multiple collisions of solitons*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **27** (1972), 1192–1194.
- [27] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), 1–23.
- [28] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*, J. Diff. Geom. **12** (1977) 253–300.
- [29] S.Q. Liu, Y. Zhang, *Deformations of semisimple bihamiltonian structures of hydrodynamic type*, J. Geom. Phys. **54**(4), 427–453 (2005).

- [30] P. Lorenzoni, *Deformations of bihamiltonian structures of hydrodynamic type*, J. Geom. Phys. **44** (2002) 331–375.
- [31] F. Magri, *A simple construction of integrable systems*, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978) 1156–1162.
- [32] S.V. Manakov, *Note on the integration of Euler’s equations of the dynamics of an n -dimensional rigid body*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **4** (1976), 328–329.
- [33] L. Martinez Alonso, *Schrödinger spectral problems with energy-dependent potentials as sources of nonlinear Hamiltonian evolution equations*, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980) 2342–2349.
- [34] P. van Moerbeke, *Integrable foundations of string theory*. Lectures on integrable systems (Sophia-Antipolis, 1991), 163–267, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [35] P. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 107. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986
- [36] K. Saito, T. Yano, J. Sekeguchi, *On a certain generator system of the ring of invariants of a finite reflection group*, Comm. Algebra **8** (1980) 373–408.
- [37] M. Sato, Y. Sato, *Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold*. Nonlinear PDEs in Applied Sciences (US-Japan Seminar, Tokyo), P. Lax and H. Fujita eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982, pp. 259–271.
- [38] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surv. in Diff. geom. **1** (1991), 243–310.
- [39] J.P. Zubelli, F. Magri *Differential equations in the spectral parameter, Darboux transformations and a hierarchy of master symmetries for KdV*, Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), no. 2, 329–351.